Remarks

Claims 52-64 were pending up to this Amendment.

Claims 52-64 were rejected and appealed.

The rejection of claims 52-59 were affirmed by the Board.

Claims 52-59 are cancelled by this Amendment.

The §112 rejection of claim 60 was affirmed by the Board.

Claims 60-61 and 63-64 are amended.

The §103 rejections of claims 60-64 were reversed by the Board.

Claims 60-64 are pending as a result of this Amendment.

Applicants request the allowance of claims 60-64.

The Board affirmed the rejections of claims 52-59. Applicants have cancelled those claims in the interest of obtaining allowance of other claims. Applicants will address the patentability of claims 52-59 in a related application. Accordingly, Applicants request these claims be cancelled without prejudice.

The Board affirmed the §112 rejection of claim 60. In opposition to the Examiner, the Board held that the term "generally laterally coextensive" met §112's definiteness requirement. (Board Decision at p. 4.) However, the Board also found that the non-ideality intended by Applicants' use of the word "generally" to qualify the terms "insulative" and "conductive" is already encompassed by the bare terms themselves. (*Id.* at p. 5-6.) As a result, Applicants have clarified the claims to remove the redundant term "generally" as applied to "insulative" and "conductive." Applicants contend such clarification satisfies §112's definiteness requirement as articulated by the Board. Further, the Board reversed the only other basis for rejecting claim 60. (*Id.* at p. 20-22.) Accordingly, Applicants request the allowance of claim 60.

The Board also reversed all of the Examiner's expressed bases for rejecting claims 61-64. (*Id.*) Applicants submit that the clarifications addressed above benefit the dependent claims 61-64 as well and avoid the potential §112 rejection that the Board suggested the Examiner make upon reopening prosecution. (*See* Board Decision at p. 22.)

Conclusion

In light of the above amendments and remarks, as well as the Board's decision,
Applicants submit that the pending claims are definite and allowable. Therefore, Applicants
respectfully request allowance of all of the pending claims. If there are any matters which may
be resolved or clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact
Applicants' undersigned attorney at the number indicated.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Brankley

Date: 2126/4

Charles B. Brantley II Reg. No. 38,086 Micron Technology, Inc. 8000 S. Federal Way Boise, ID 83716-9632 (208) 368-4557 ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS Under the Paperwork Reduction

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2004

Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

0

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

Application Number	09/654,093	~
Filing Date	August 31, 2000	~
First Named Inventor	Thakur et al.	4
Examiner Name	P. Brock II	P
Art Unit	2815	0,3
Attorney Docket No.	94-0302.02	Z)

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)			FEE CALCULATION (continued)									
			3. ADDITIONAL FEES									
☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money ☐ Other ☐ None			Large Entity Small Entity									
Order Deposit Account:			<u> Large</u>	enuty	Smail E	TITLEY						
□ Deposit Account: RECEIVED Deposit			Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description Fee	Paid				
Account		13-3092, 0	Order N	o. 94-0302.02		- 0004	1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
Number <u>MAY 2 0 2004</u>			1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.					
Deposit			1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification					
Account Micron Technology DAFFICE OF THE SPECIA!			1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination					
Name PROGRAMS EXAMINED The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)				1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action				
☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Credit any overpayments ☐ Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application				1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action				
☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee				1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month				
to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION					1252	420	2252	210	Extension for reply within second month			
1. BASIC FILING FEE				1253	950	2253	475	Extension for reply within third month				
Large Entity	s	mall Entity					1254	1,480	2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	
Fee Fee Code (\$)	1	ee Fee ode (\$)	<u>Fe</u>	ee Descriptio	<u>n</u>	Fee Paid	1255	2,010	2255	1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1001 770	- 1	001 385		tility filing fee			1401	330	2401	165	Notice of Appeal	
1002 340	1 -	002 170		esign filing fee	•		1402	330	2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
1003 530		003 265		ant filing fee			1403	290	2403	-145	Request for oral hearing	
1004 770	20	004 385	Re	eissue filing fe			1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filling fee		1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable						
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0			1453	1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive – unintentional					
300101A2(1)				1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)				
2. EXTRA C	CLAI	M FEES					1502	480	2502	240	Design issue fee	
					Fee from	Fee	1503	640	2503	320	Plant issue fee	
Total Claims	13	-20 **	= [below 18	Paid = 0	1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner]
Independent		╡~					1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (q)	
Claims	4	4 **	= 0	x	86	= 0	1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Multiple Dependent Large Entity		Small E	méiés	×		= 0	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
Fee Fe	e	Fee	Fee	_		•	1809	770	2809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection	
Code (\$)		Code	(\$)	Fee Descr	<u>iption</u>		Ī -			-	(37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
1202 18		2202	9	Claims in e	excess of 20)	1810	770	2810	385	For each additional invention to be	
1201 86		2201	43	Independe	nt claims in	excess of 3					examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
1203 29	0	2203	145	•	•	aim, if not paid	1801	770	2801	385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1204 86		2204	43	** Reissue original pat		nt claims over	1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	
1205 18		2205	9	** Reissue over origina		xcess of 20 and	Other fee (specify)					
				Other re	e (speci	·y/	•					
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0					*Reduc	ed by Ra	asic Filing	ı Fee P:	aid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 0			
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above				1.0000	0, 00		,	(0)				
	or manipul proviously pare, it greater, i or missues, see above											

SUBMITTED BY		Complete (if applicable)			
Name (Print/Type)	charles Brantley	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	38,08G	Telephone	(208) 368 -455 7
Signature	Charles Braw	the -	Date	2136/4	

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patamerk Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.